

## A Proof of validity for Recycled ESS

We give the complete proof of validity of Recycled ESS here. The Markov chain at step  $i$  has state space  $\{\mathbf{x}_1^{(i)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_M^{(i)}\}$ . We prove that it converges to the stationary distribution with each element identically distributed according to the target distribution  $p^*$ .

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $T_j$  correspond to the transition operator from  $\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j^{(i)}$  (the vector returned after the first  $j$  applications of line 9 of Algorithm 1). Then  $T_j$  is invariant to  $p^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\theta_{j,k}\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, K_j$ , be the sequence of angles sampled during  $T_j$ . The joint distribution over the current state  $\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}$  and random variables  $y, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \{\theta_{j,k}\}$  generated to transition to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j^{(i)}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}, y, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \{\theta_{j,k}\}) \\ &= p^*(\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}) \cdot p(y|\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}) \cdot p(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \cdot p(\{\theta_{j,k}\}|\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}, y, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}; \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \cdot \mathcal{N}(y|\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}) \cdot \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\nu}; \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \cdot p(\{\theta_{j,k}\}|\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}, y, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $p(y|\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}) = 1/\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)})$ . We show that the algorithm is reversible i.e.

$$p(\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}, y, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \{\theta_{j,k}\}) = p(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j^{(i)}, y, \hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \{\hat{\theta}_{j,k}\}) \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}} &= \boldsymbol{\nu} \cos(\theta_{j,K}) - \mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)} \sin(\theta_{j,K}) \\ \hat{\theta}_{j,k} &= \begin{cases} \theta_{j,k} - \theta_{j,K} & \text{if } k < K \\ -\theta_{j,K} & \text{if } k = K. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

To prove Equation (5), we first show that:

$$p(\{\theta_{j,k}\}|\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}, y, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = p(\{\hat{\theta}_{j,k}\}|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j^{(i)}, y, \hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) \quad (6)$$

The argument is as follows: probability of the first angle  $\theta_{j,1}$  is always  $1/2\pi$ . The intermediate angles were drawn with probabilities  $1/(\theta_{j,k}^{max} - \theta_{j,k}^{min})$  where  $(\theta_{j,k}^{min}, \theta_{j,k}^{max})$  denotes the angle bracket for  $\theta_{j,k}$ . Whenever the bracket was shrunk, it was done so that  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j^{(i)}$  remained selectable.

Now lets consider the reverse transitions starting from  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j^{(i)}$ . The reverse transitions begin by drawing the same threshold  $y$  and then make the same intermediate proposals. This involves making the same shrinking decisions as forward transitions. Since same angle brackets  $(\hat{\theta}_{j,k}^{min}, \hat{\theta}_{j,k}^{max})$  are sampled, the probabilities for drawing angles in forward and reverse transitions remains the same.

Additionally, we use the fact that

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}; \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \cdot \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\nu}; \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j^{(i)}; \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \cdot \mathcal{N}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}; \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \quad (7)$$

as  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j^{(i)}$  and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$  are simply obtained by rotations of  $\mathbf{x}_1^{(i-1)}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  by  $\hat{\theta}_{j,K}$ . This combined with the result in equation(6) proves equation(5) and shows that  $T_j$  is invariant to  $p^*$ .  $\square$